## 37 Am. Jur. 2d Fraud and Deceit § 192

American Jurisprudence, Second Edition | May 2021 Update

#### Fraud and Deceit

George Blum, J.D., John Bourdeau, J.D., Romualdo P. Eclavea, J.D., Janice Holben, J.D., Karl Oakes, J.D. and Eric C. Surette, J.D.

- IV. False Representations
- G. Representations and Statements as to Particular Matters
- 4. Credit, Solvency, and Financial Standing

# § 192. Representations as to checks and notes

Topic Summary | Correlation Table | References

## West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Fraud 27, 28

## A.L.R. Library

Misrepresentations as to financial condition or credit of third person as actionable by one extending credit in reliance thereon, 32 A.L.R.2d 184

#### **Forms**

Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Fraud and Deceit § 44 (Complaint, petition, or declaration—For damages—Fraud in obtaining draft from bank—Purchaser gave bank worthless check)

Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Fraud and Deceit § 201 (Answer—Defense—Fraud in obtaining note—Representation that plaintiff was authorized by defendant's creditor to take defendant's note in satisfaction of debt)

The drawing of a check where there are insufficient funds in the bank to pay it is a false representation that the drawer has funds sufficient to meet the check in the hands of the drawee. Knowledge as to the sufficiency of funds on deposit to pay a check will ordinarily be inferred or presumed from the fact of drawing and delivering the check.

A person who is induced to discount a note and extend credit for the proceeds to the maker-payee in reliance upon false and fraudulent representations as to the maker-payee's financial condition may upon discovery of the fraud rescind the credit so extended, and the amount advanced becomes immediately due and payable and is recoverable or available as an offset.<sup>3</sup>

False representations that a note<sup>4</sup> or check<sup>5</sup> is good, or false representations as to the solvency of the makers<sup>6</sup> of the notes sold, or that the notes sold<sup>7</sup> are perfectly good,<sup>8</sup> or "as good as gold," have been held to constitute actionable fraud. Generally, such a statement is regarded as a statement of fact rather than a mere expression of opinion <sup>10</sup> although the form of the statement and the circumstances in which the representation was made may indicate that it was mere opinion and consequently not actionable. <sup>11</sup> Some courts thus hold that representations of this type may be either statements of fact or expressions of opinion. <sup>12</sup>

A purchaser of checks, in scanning signatures of sellers' representatives into a computer and electronically "pasting" these signatures on assignment forms which contain numerous warranties to which the sellers have never agreed, and in then affixing these "manufactured" assignments to a complaint which the purchaser serves on the drawer of the checks, in order to make it appear that the purchaser is a holder in due course not subject to various defenses that the drawer might otherwise assert, makes a material misrepresentation of presently existing or past fact, of the kind required to support a common law fraud claim. <sup>13</sup>

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. 33-34B © 2021 Thomson Reuters/RIA. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works. All rights reserved.

Footnotes	
1	Hi-Pro Fish Products, Inc. v. McClure, 346 F.2d 497 (8th Cir. 1965); In re Damiani, 157 B.R. 17 (Bankr. N.D.
	Ohio 1993) (applying Ohio law); A. Sam & Sons Produce Co. v. Campese, 14 A.D.2d 487, 217 N.Y.S.2d
	275 (4th Dep't 1961).
2	§ 465.
3	Wolf v. National City Bank of New York, 170 A.D. 565, 156 N.Y.S. 575 (1st Dep't 1915).
4	Doolen v. Hulsey, 192 S.W. 364 (Tex. Civ. App. Amarillo 1917).
5	Sparks v. Guaranty State Bank, 179 Kan. 236, 293 P.2d 1017 (1956).
6	Binghamton Trust Co. v. Auten, 68 Ark. 299, 57 S.W. 1105 (1900).
	A buyer's tender of "insufficient funds" checks constituted a written misrepresentation of the solvency of
	the buyer. Amoco Pipeline Co. v. Admiral Crude Oil Corp., 490 F.2d 114, 13 U.C.C. Rep. Serv. 1019 (10th
	Cir. 1974).
7	Standard Motors Finance Co. v. Mitchell Auto Co., 173 Ark. 875, 293 S.W. 1026, 57 A.L.R. 877 (1927).
8	Crane v. Elder, 48 Kan. 259, 29 P. 151 (1892); Doolen v. Hulsey, 192 S.W. 364 (Tex. Civ. App. Amarillo
	1917).
	A representation that certain notes signed by various persons are "good bankable paper" is a representation
	of fact. Engen v. Merchants' & Mfrs.' State Bank, 164 Minn. 293, 204 N.W. 963, 43 A.L.R. 610 (1925).
9	Doolen v. Hulsey, 192 S.W. 364 (Tex. Civ. App. Amarillo 1917).
10	Crane v. Elder, 48 Kan. 259, 29 P. 151 (1892).
11	Andrews v. Jackson, 168 Mass. 266, 47 N.E. 412 (1897).
12	Andrews v. Jackson, 168 Mass. 266, 47 N.E. 412 (1897).
13	Triffin v. Automatic Data Processing, Inc., 394 N.J. Super. 237, 926 A.2d 362 (App. Div. 2007).

End of Document

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.